

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,245	ITAMI, AKIHIKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher RoDee	1756	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher RoDee.

(2) Cameron Kerrigan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2005

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner requested clarification of the restriction because elected Group 1 includes claims 1-9 not claims 10 and 11 as stated in the response. Counsel clarified that claims 1-9 should have been specified as the elected claims.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)